IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA ROANOKE DIVISION

FREDDIE EUGENE CASEY,)
Plaintiff,) Case No. 7:15CV00674
V.) OPINION
JACK S. HURLEY, ET AL.,) By: James P. Jones) United States District Judge
Defendants.)

Freddie Eugene Casey, Pro Se Plaintiff.

By Opinion and Order entered on March 3, 2016, I summarily dismissed this pro se prisoner civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 as frivolous. Plaintiff Freddie Eugene Casey now moves to alter or amend that judgment, alleging factual and legal errors. Specifically, I found that because Casey's contention was merely that the state circuit court erroneously applied the [Virginia DNA testing] statute in deciding his case, I did not have jurisdiction over this claim under the *Rooker-Feldman* doctrine.¹

After review of the current motion, I remain convinced that Casey's Complaint stated no factual or legal basis for a § 1983 claim. I also find no basis

¹ Rooker v. Fidelity Trust Co., 263 U.S. 413 (1923); D.C. Court of Appeals v. Feldman, 460 U.S. 462 (1983).

in his current motion to alter or amend my prior ruling. Therefore, I will deny his motion.

A separate Order will be entered herewith.

DATED: March 21, 2016

/s/ James P. Jones

United States District Judge